

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/927,121	Applicant(s) GOLD ET AL.	
	Examiner Jessica H. Roark	Art Unit 1644	

All Participants:

(1) Jessica H. Roark.

(2) Russell T. Boggs.
Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

proposed claims 83-103

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested certain minor changes to correct antecedent basis issues in the draft claims provided by Applicant following the Interview of 3/23/04. Applicant agreed to provide a new set of claims incorporating these changes for use in an Examiner's Amendment.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/927,121	GOLD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica H. Roark	1644	

All Participants:

(1) Jessica H. Roark.

(2) Jeffrey W. Guise.

Status of Application: 71

(3) Russell T. Boggs.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of record

Claims discussed:

proposed

Prior art documents discussed:

of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Applicant that after further review of the art of record the Examiner agreed with Applicant's argument that the requisite motivation to select the particular combinations of promoters and secretory signal sequences in the expression vector was lacking. The Examiner requested inclusion of the details of the vector used in the production of the chimeric proteins in the independent claim so that the case might be passed to issue. Applicant agreed to provide a new claim set incorporating the requested changes, which the Examiner agreed to enter into the file by Examiner's Amendment.